IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KURIAN JOSEPH

THURSDAY, THE 9TH AUGUST 2007 / 18TH SRAVANA 1929

WP(C).No. 3674 of 2004(K)

PETITIONER:

M.P.RAJAPPAN, S/O.PANIKAN, AGED 43 YEARS
R/AT MARUTHUMCHUVATTIL HOUSE,
NAYARUPARA.P.O., MARIYAPURAM PANCHAYATH,
IDUKKI DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.JOHNSON P.JOHN
SRI.RAJU SEBASTIAN VADAKKEKKARA
SRI.BABY MATHEW

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. **SCHEDULED CASE DEVELOPMENT PROJECT OFFICER, IDUKKI BLOCK PANCHAYATH, THADIYANPADU.P.O IDUKKI - 685 602.**

2. **BLOCK PANCHAYATH SECRETARY, IDUKKI BLOCK PANCHAYAT, THADIYANPADU.P.O., IDUKKI 685 602.**

3. **S.C/S.T. DISTRICT OFFICER, MOOLAMATTOM.P.O., IDUKKI DISTRICT.**

4. **THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, COLLECTORATE, KUYILIMALA, PAINAVU.P.O., IDUKKI 685 602.**

BY

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 09/08/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

W.P.(C) NO.3674/2003

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS

EXT.P1:- COPY OF THE PROJECT PLAN.

EXT.P1(a):- COPY OF THE PROJECT MAP.

EXT.P2:- COPY OF THE NOTICE DT. 14.3.03.

EXT.P3:- COPY OF THE REPORT DT. 28.3.03 SUBMITTED BY THE 1ST RESPONDENT.

EXT.P4:- COPY OF THE CERTIFICATE ISSUED BY THE PRESIDENT, MARIYAPURAM GRAMA PANCHAYAT.

EXT.P4(a):- COPY OF THE CERTIFICATE ISSUED BY THE SECRETARY, IDUKKI BLOCK PANCHAYAT.

EXT.P4(b):- COPY OF THE CERTIFICATE ISSUED BY THE WARD MEMBER OF MARIYAPURAM GRAMA PANCHAYAT.

EXT.P5:- COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DT. 27.1.04 SUBMITTED BY PETITIONER.

/TRUE COPY/

P.A. TO JUDGE

tss

KURIAN JOSEPH J.

W.P.(C) No.3674 of 2004

Dated 9th August, 2007.

J U D G M E N T

The writ petition is filed mainly with the following prayers :-

> (i) "To issue a writ of mandamus or other appropriate writ or order directing the 3rd respondent herein to enquire and cancel Ext.P3 report submitted by the 1st respondent.
>
> (ii) To direct the 3rd and 4th respondents to enquire and collect a fresh report with regard to the actual number of scheduled caste families in the project area, as expeditiously as possible."

It is seen that the petitioner has submitted Ext.P5 representation before respondents 3 and 4. In case no orders have been passed on Ext.P5, there will be a direction to the third respondent to look into the same with notice to the petitioner and the second respondent and pass appropriate orders thereon in accordance with law, within four months from the date of receipt of a copy of the judgment.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

KURIAN JOSEPH, JUDGE.

tgs